

2017 Project Application Evaluation Definitions & Data Sources

River Valleys Continuum of Care (MN-502)

Alignment with Priorities

Evaluation Criteria	Data Source	Calculation/Definition	Renewal				Expansion				New (Non-expansion)				
			PSH	RRH	SSO-CES	HMIS	PSH	RRH	SSO-CES	HMIS	PSH	RRH	TH-RRH	SSO-CES	HMIS
Chronic Priority 1 Extent to which CoC-funded units or services serve chronically homeless households (whether or not they are dedicated to chronically homeless households).	All projects: App 5A, 5B	Total Persons “Chronically homeless” columns in <u>all</u> household type tables in 5B / Total Persons in 5A	5	5			5	5			5	5	5		
Chronic Priority 2 Extent to which CoC-funded units in FY 2017 application are <u>dedicated</u> to households meeting chronic homelessness or DedicatedPLUS thresholds.	All projects: App 4B.2b and 4B.3	4B.3 /4B.2b	5	5			5	5			5	5	5		
Target Populations Priority Extent to which CoC-funded units or services serve (renewal projects) or target (new projects) priority target populations.	Veterans <u>Renewals:</u> APR 25a <u>New/Exp:</u> App 5B, 4 Youth <u>Renewals:</u> APR 8/9 <u>New/Exp:</u> App 5A, 4 Families with children <u>Renewals:</u> APR 8/9 <u>New/Exp:</u> App 5A, 4 LGBTQIA+ App 3B, 4	Veterans <u>Renewals:</u> Total Veteran / Total <u>New projects:</u> Total “Chronically Homeless Vets” + “Non-Chronically Homeless Vets” / Total Youth In Characteristics table, (Adults 18-24 + Unaccompanied Children) / Total Families with children <u>Renewals:</u> Total “With Children and Adults” / Total <u>New Projects:</u> In Households table, Total Households with at least One Adult and One Child / Total LGBTQIA+ Narrative describes target number/percentage	5	5			5	5			5	5	5		
Housing First Whether or not the project meets the Housing First definition in the NOFA.	All projects: App 3B.3d, Housing First Supplement & attachments		5	5			5	5			5	5	5		
Barriers to Entry Extent to which the project has eliminated barriers to housing or services.	All projects: Housing First Supplement & attachments	Count number of barriers remaining (unchecked) on checklist.	5	5			5	5			5	5	5		
K-12 Education Extent to which youth/family projects’ services and space ensure school-age children are enrolled and attending school.	<u>Youth/Family projects ONLY:</u> K12 & Early Childhood Supplement, and App 3B1, 3B2a, 4A1	Review documents provided AND if narrative in App 3B1 describes plan, staff, space, and outcomes. App 4A1 includes education services.	5	5			5	5			5	5	5		

Early Childhood Development Extent to which youth/family projects' services and space ensure families with children 0-5 are accessing Head Start and other early childhood resources.	<u>Youth/Family projects ONLY:</u> App 3B1, 3B2a, 4A1	Review documents provided AND if narrative in App 3B1 describes plan, staff, space, and outcomes. App 4A1 includes child care and/or education services.	5	5			5	5			5	5	5		
Equal Access Rule Extent to which applicant has implemented requirements of the HUD 2012 Equal Access Rule and the 2017 Final Rule Ensuring Equal Access in Accordance with Gender Identity.	<u>Renewal/Exp. ONLY:</u> Equal Access Rule Supplement	Review documents provided. If documents are provided, 5 points.	5	5			5	5							
System Improvement 1 Extent to which the applicant has participated in CoC Committee meetings.	All projects: CoC meeting attendance report	Total number of CoC plenary meetings at which project was represented between July 2016 and June 2017.	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
System Improvement 2 Extent to which the applicant has participated in Coordinated Entry planning and implementation.	All projects: Coordinated Entry Supplement	Review supplemental material and CoC staff verification from CES referral records. HMIS and CES-SSO: Automatic 5 points	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
System Improvement 3 Extent to which the applicant has committed to voluntary reallocation to improve CoC performance.	<u>Renewals ONLY:</u> Reallocation letters received by CoC Coordinator, GIW	Amount of ARA committed to reallocation / Total ARA	5	5	5	5	5	5	5						
Points Possible for Alignment with Priorities– Projects serving youth and households with children			55	55			55	55			45	45	45		
Points Possible for Alignment with Priorities– All other projects			45	45	15	15	45	45	15	15	35	35	35	10	10

Project Management

Evaluation Criteria	Data Source	Calculation/Definition	Renewal				Expansion				New (Non-expansion)				
			PSH	RRH	SSO-CES	HMIS	PSH	RRH	SSO-CES	HMIS	PSH	RRH	TH-RRH	SSO-CES	HMIS
Applicant Experience Extent to which the applicant has demonstrated the ability to administer the project (or a similar project) for successful outcomes.	<u>Renewal/Exp:</u> GIW, Project Review and Scoring Tool, App 3B.1 <u>New projects:</u> App 2B	<u>Renewal/Expansion:</u> Experience (1/2pt) - Applicant has any grant number on GIW than ends in a number > 3. (Adjustments made for renewals with multi-year grant terms, e.g. S+C) Outcomes (2/3pt) – Associated renewal project scores at least 2/3 of points available in applicable performance measures on Scoring tool <u>New projects:</u> Experience and capacity described adequately in app 2B.	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5

HMIS Data Quality Extent to which the applicant demonstrates commitment and ability to ensure HMIS data quality for the project.	<u>Renewals/Expansion ONLY:</u> 0640 HUD Data Quality Framework report	Count the number of measures that met the standard.	5	5			5	5							
Fund Management 1 Extent to which the applicant demonstrates ability to manage federal funds (e.g. timeliness, eligible expenses, recaptured funds).	<u>Renewals/Exp:</u> eLOCCS draw report(s) <u>New projects:</u> App 2B.2-4; if available, agency financial policies or progress/monitoring report from other federal grant.	<u>Renewals/Exp:</u> At least 4 draws at regular intervals in most recent 12 months. <u>New projects:</u> Evidence of knowledge of and commitment to standards for managing federal funds in narrative. If available, documentation from policies or another federal grant with regular draws.	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Fund Management 2 Extent to which the applicant utilizes the HUD CoC funds awarded to it.	<u>Renewal/Exp ONLY:</u> App 2B, eLOCCS draw report(s), HUD Field Office finance reports, FY2015/FY2016 awards	<u>Renewal/Exp:</u> Total recaptured funds (balance)/Total award. Verify with App 2B	5	5	5	5	5	5	5						
Points Possible for Project Management			20	20	15	15	20	20	15	15	10	10	10	10	10

Housing Project Performance

Evaluation Criteria	Data Source	Calculation/Definition	Renewal				Expansion				New (Non-expansion)				
			PSH	RRH	SSO-CES	HMIS	PSH	RRH	SSO-CES	HMIS	PSH	RRH	TH-RRH	SSO-CES	HMIS
Bed Utilization Extent to which the project has ensured utilization of beds by eligible participants.	Renewal/Exp ONLY: APR 7b and App 4B.2b	APR 7b Average number of persons served each night (Total) / App 4B.2b	5	5			5	5							
Income 1: Non-cash The percentage of project's adult participants (stayers and leavers) with non-cash mainstream benefits	Renewal/Exp ONLY: APR 20b	Total "Adults with 1+ Sources" in APR 20b leavers and stayers / Total Adults in 20b	5	5			5	5							
Income 2: Cash The percentage of project's adult participants who have cash income at exit.	Renewal/Exp ONLY: APR 18	Total "Adults with 1+ Sources" in APR 18 leavers and stayers / Total Adult leavers in 18	5	5			5	5							

Housing Stability Extent to which project participants have maintained permanent housing after 6 months.	Renewals/Exp ONLY: APR 22a1	RRH: Only score 6 month outcome. Total persons in APR 22a1 lines 5-10 / Total persons in APR 22a1 PSH: 6mo = Total persons in APR 22a1 lines 5-10 / Total persons in APR 22a1 12 mo = Total persons in APR 22a1 lines 6-10 / Total persons in APR 22a1 PSH projects that do not met both standards in a category may only claim points up to the lower outcome category. Example: A project that has 88% stability at 6 months and 75% at 12 months earns 2 points.	5	5			5	5							
Returns to Homelessness Extent to which project participants have exited to permanent housing destinations.	Renewals/Exp ONLY: HMIS report "returns to homelessness"	TBD - Waiting on report from ICA	5	5			5	5							
Points Possible for Housing Performance			25	25			25	25							

TOTAL POINTS POSSIBLE FROM ALL SECTIONS

	Renewal					Expansion					New (Non-expansion)				
	PSH	RRH	SSO- CES		HMIS	PSH	RRH	SSO- CES		HMIS	PSH	RRH	TH- RRH	SSO- CES	HMIS
Points Possible- Projects serving youth and households with children	100	100				100	100				55	55	55		
Points Possible- All other projects	85	85	30	30		45	45	30	30		45	45	45	20	20

Tie-breaker for PSH and RRH project applications:

Fund Management 3 Extent to which the project demonstrates a reasonable cost per successful outcome relative to other similar projects.	Housing Projects ONLY: Project Evaluation and Scoring tool	PSH Projects: (Current HUD CoC request + Match) / (Number of Leavers to PH + Number of Stayers) RRH Projects: (Current HUD CoC request + Match) / Number of Leavers to PH	0.5	0.5			0.5	0.5			0.5	0.5	0.5		
---	---	--	-----	-----	--	--	-----	-----	--	--	-----	-----	-----	--	--